

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/378,261	08/20/1999	KENJI FUKUDOME	OMRF.152-DIV	8903
23579	7590 10/22/2003		EXAM	NER
PATREA L. PABST			GUCKER, STEPHEN	
HOLLAND & KNIGHT LLP SUITE 2000, ONE ATLANTIC CENTER 1201 WEST PEACHTREE STREET, N.E.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1647	75
ATLANTA,	GA 30309-3400		DATE MAILED: 10/22/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



DATE MAILED:

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER FILING DATE FIRST NAMED APPLICANT ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. EXAMINER ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION
THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:  a) is extended to run or continues to run 3/26/02 from the date of the final rejection
b) expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.
Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.
Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).  Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed 11/26/02 has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:
The proposed amendments to the claim and /or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:
a. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented.
b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).
c. They saise the issue of new matter. (See Note).
d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
e.   They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: The animal files 3/17/2003 cannot be intend bleads relike the model - WP version of the claims of the claims of the claims of the arended claims to the actual after final amendment the amendment files 3/10/2003 compliand with lether the "old or the "new" rules governing the compliand with lether the "old or the "new" rules governing the would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling formality of an acceptable amendment will be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows:
Claims allowed:  Claims objected to: 24-26  Claims rejected: 16-17 + 27-30  However;  Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):
be entired into the case (see above) + the property sales and now on appeal + cannot be further amended at this fourth processing.  The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented.
☐ The proposed drawing correction ☐ has ☐ has not been approved by the examiner.
□ Other
PTOL-303 (REV. 5-89)  PTOL-303 (REV. 5-89)  SUPERVISORY PATENT FXAMINER  TECHNOLOGY GEN. 1000